arXiv:1605.02328v1 [cs.CR] 8 May 2016

# Note on families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with small embedding degree

Keiji OKANO

**Abstract**

Pairing-based cryptographic schemes require so-called *pairing-friendly elliptic curves*, which have special properties. The set of pairing-friendly elliptic curves that are generated by given polynomials form a *complete family*. Although a complete family with a $\rho$-value of 1 is the ideal case, there is only one such example that is known; this was given by Barreto and Naehrig (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3897, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 319–331). We prove that there are no ideal families with embedding degree 3, 4, or 6 and that many complete families with embedding degree 8 or 12 are nonideal, even if we chose noncyclotomic families.

## 1 Introduction

Pairing-based cryptographic schemes, which have been suggested independently by Boneh-Franklin [4] and Sakai-Ohgishi-Kasahara [17], are based on pairings on elliptic curves. They fit many novel protocols for which no other practical implementation is known; see [4], [12], and [17] for pioneering works in this field, and see [9] and [16] for surveys. One of the features of these schemes is that they require so-called *pairing-friendly elliptic curves*, which have special properties, whereas the elliptic ElGamal cryptosystems can be implemented by using almost randomly generated elliptic curves. More precisely, a pairing-friendly elliptic curve $E$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ has the following properties (see [9]): (i) The curve has a subgroup $G$ of large prime order $r$ such that $r \mid q^k - 1$ for some integer $k$, and $r \nmid q^i - 1$ for $0 < i < k$. (ii) The parameters $q$, $r$, and $k$ should be chosen such that $r \geq \sqrt{q}$ and $k < (\log_2 r)/8$, which mean that the discrete logarithm problem is not only infeasible both in $G$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ but also the pairings can be computed. Here, $k$ is called the *embedding degree* of $E$ with respect to $r$. In other words, the embedding degree is the degree of the extension field over $\mathbb{F}_q$ to which the pairing maps. Also, we define the $\rho$-value of $E$ as $\rho(E) = (\log q)/(\log r)$. In general, curves with small $\rho$-values are desirable in order to speed up the arithmetic on elliptic curves. If $\rho(E) = 1$, then the curve

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T71, 14H52.

*Key Words.* Elliptic curves, Pairing-based cryptography, Embedding degree.

is ideal. Although there are some methods for constructing pairing-friendly elliptic curves, it is known to be very rare that $\rho$ takes the value 1. Recently, it has been found that such ideal curves are also required in the theory of zk-SNARK [3] (also see [7]), which is an application for which these had not been assumed.

In practice, we need to construct curves of a specified bit size for each of various embedding degrees. To this end, in order to describe the families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves, we give four polynomials $t(x)$, $r(x)$, $q(x)$, and $y(x)$, instead of the trace $t$ of the Frobenius map on $E$, the above two parameters $r$ and $q$. The families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves that are generated by such polynomials are called *complete families*, and we consider them in this paper. Moreover, when $r(x)$ is chosen to be a cyclotomic polynomial, this yields the most popular complete family, known as the cyclotomic case (see Section 2 for more details).

We also define the *$\rho$-value* $\rho(t, r, q)$ for complete families (Definition 2.5). The case where the $\rho$-value equals 1 is also ideal, while this is not true for most cases. We thus consider the question, under what conditions is the $\rho$-value equal to or close to 1? There is only one known example of a complete family with $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$; it was constructed by Barreto and Naehrig [2] (Remark 2.7). Various approaches have been used to search for the ideal case; see, for example, [9], [11], [13], [15], [18], and [19].

In this paper, we show that there is *no* ideal case for which $k = 3$, 4, or 6. In addition, we consider the cases of $k = 8$ and 12, including noncyclotomic cases.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $k = 3$, 4, or 6, and let $D$ be a square-free positive integer. Suppose that $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ parameterizes a complete family of elliptic curves with complex multiplication (CM) discriminant $D$ and embedding degree $k$. Then, $\rho(t, r, q) \neq 1$.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $k = 8$ or 12, and let $D$ be a square-free positive integer. Suppose that $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ parameterizes a complete family of elliptic curves with CM discriminant $D$ and embedding degree $k$. If*

$$\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k) \quad \textit{and} \quad \deg r(x) \neq 2 \deg t(x),$$

*then $\rho(t, r, q) \neq 1$. Here, $\zeta_k$ is a primitive $k$th root of unity.*

In the following, we denote the $k$th cyclotomic polynomial and Euler's totient function as $\Phi_k(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, respectively. Note that it is known that $r(x)$ is a factor of $\Phi_k(t(x) - 1)$, and the degree of $r(x)$ is a multiple of $\varphi(k)$. Moreover, note that if $\deg t(x) = 2$, then $\Phi_8(t(x) - 1)$ is irreducible in the case where $k = 8$ (see [10]), and, in the case $k = 12$, a family with $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$ is given by [2].

## 2 Family of Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves

In this section, we briefly explain the strategy for constructing complete families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves as proposed by Brezing and Weng [5]. In the following, we use the notation $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ for the set of rational integers and rational numbers, respectively. For an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$, define $t$ by the trace of the Frobenius map on $E$. Then, the order of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is described as $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose that $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has a subgroup of order $r$ with $\gcd(r, q) = 1$. The embedding degree of $E$ with respect to $r$ is the extension degree $[\mathbb{F}_q(\mu_r) : \mathbb{F}_q]$. Here, $\mu_r$ is the group of all $r$th roots of unity in an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

**Proposition 2.2.** ([9, Remark 2.2 and Proposition 2.4]) *Assume that $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is prime relative to $q$. Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *$E$ has embedding degree $k$ with respect to $r$.*
(ii) *$k$ is the smallest positive integer such that $r \mid q^k - 1$.*
*Moreover, if $r$ is prime such that $r \nmid kq$, then $E$ has embedding degree $k$ with respect to $r$ if and only if $r \mid \Phi_k(t - 1)$.*

We now describe the CM method as proposed by Atkin and Morain [1], which is a strategy for constructing elliptic curves with given parameters.

**Theorem 2.3.** (Atkin and Morain [1]) *Let $k$ be a positive integer. Suppose that there are some $t, r, q$ satisfying the following properties:*
(i) *$r$ is a prime number.*
(ii) *$q$ is a power of a prime number.*
(iii) *$r \mid q + 1 - t$ and $\gcd(t, q) = 1$.*
(iv) *$r \mid q^k - 1$ and $r \nmid q^i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i < k$.*
(v) *There exist some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some square-free positive integer $D$ such that an equation $Dy^2 = 4q - t^2$ holds ($D$ is called a CM discriminant).*
*Then, there exists an ordinary elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ that satisfies the following:*
(a) *The order $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$, and there is a subgroup of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with prime order $r$.*
(b) *The embedding degree with respect to $r$ is $k$.*

For applications, it is necessary to be able to construct curves of a specified bit size. To this end, to describe the families of pairing-friendly curves, we give the four parameters $t$, $r$, $q$, and $y$ in Theorem 2.3, and these are given as the polynomials

$t(x)$, $r(x)$, $q(x)$, and $y(x)$ with a parameter $x$. According to [9], we introduce the following definition, which is based on the conjecture of Bouniakowski and Schinzel (see [14, p. 323]).

**Definition 2.4.** *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.*

(i) *If there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we say that $f(x)$ represents integers.*

(ii) *Assume that $f(x)$ is nonconstant, irreducible, and represents integers. If $f(x)$ has a positive leading coefficient and*

$$\gcd(\{f(x)|\ x \text{ such that } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}\}) = 1,$$

*then we say that $f(x)$ represents primes.*

Bouniakowski, Schinzel, and others conjectured that if $f(x)$ represents primes, then $f(x)$ has infinitely many prime values.

**Definition 2.5.** *Let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be a positive square-free integer. Suppose that a triple of nonzero polynomials $(t(x), r(x), q(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]^3$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) *$r(x)$ represents primes.*

(ii) *$q(x)$ represents primes.*

(iii) *$r(x) \mid q(x) + 1 - t(x)$, i.e., there exists $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $h(x)r(x) = q(x) + 1 - t(x)$.*

(iv) *$r(x) \mid \Phi_k(t(x) - 1)$.*

(v) *There is some $y(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that*

$$Dy(x)^2 = 4q(x) - t(x)^2 = 4h(x)r(x) - (t(x) - 2)^2.$$

*Then, we say that $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ parameterizes a complete family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree $k$ and CM discriminant $D$. Moreover, we define*

$$\rho(t, r, q) := \frac{\deg q(x)}{\deg r(x)} = \frac{2\max\{\deg y(x), \deg t(x)\}}{\deg r(x)}.$$

If $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ parameterizes a complete family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree $k$, then $r(x)$ defines a field $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(r(x))$ that is isomorphic to a field containing the $k$th cyclotomic field and the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, and by Definition 2.5 (iv)(v), $t(x) - 1$ corresponds to a primitive $k$th root of unity.

Next, we describe the Brezing-Weng method, which is a generalization of the Cocks-Pinch method [6].

**Theorem 2.6** (Brezing-Weng [5]). *Let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be a positive square-free integer. Then, execute the following steps.*

1. *Choose an algebraic number field $K$ that contains the $k$th cyclotomic field and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$.*
2. *Find an irreducible polynomial $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with positive leading coefficient and an isomorphism such that $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(r(x)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K$.*
3. *Let $t(x) - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be a polynomial mapping to a fixed $k$th root of unity $\zeta_k \in K$ by the above isomorphism.*
4. *Let $y(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be a polynomial mapping to $\frac{\zeta_k - 1}{\sqrt{-D}} \in K$ by the above isomorphism.*
5. *Let $q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be given by $q(x) := \frac{1}{4}(t(x)^2 + Dy(x)^2)$.*

*If $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ represent primes, then the triple $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ parameterizes a complete family of elliptic curves with embedding degree $k$ and CM discriminant $D$. We note that $t(x)$, $y(x)$ are determined up to modulus $r(x)$.*

**Remark 2.7.** The choice of $r(x)$ is an important part of this algorithm. When $r(x)$ is chosen to be a cyclotomic polynomial, this yields the most popular complete family; this is called the cyclotomic case. Several examples are collected in [9] and [13]. Barreto and Naehrig [2] gave an example of $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$ with $k = 12$ and $D = 3$:

$$\begin{cases} t(x) = 6x^2 + 1, \\ r(x) = 36x^4 + 36x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1, \\ q(x) = 36x^4 + 36x^3 + 24x^2 + 6x + 1. \end{cases}$$

This is the *only* known example of $(t(x), r(x), q(x))$ that parameterizes a complete family of curves with a $\rho$-value of 1.

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we show Theorem 1.1 for the case where $\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. The proof is similar to the proof shown in [15, Proposition 4.1]. Let $\zeta_k$ be a primitive $k$th root of unity corresponding to $t(x) - 1$ under a fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(r(x)) \simeq K \supset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. For simplicity, put $X = t(x) - 1$. Assume that $\deg t(x) < \deg r(x)$ and $\deg y(x) < \deg r(x)$.

Suppose that $k = 4$. Then, $D = 1$, and so $\sqrt{-1}$ corresponds to $s(x) = \pm X$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &\equiv \frac{(X-1)s(x)}{-1} = \mp(X^2 - X) \\ &\equiv \pm(X+1) \mod r(x), \end{aligned}$$

since $r(x) \mid \Phi_4(X) = X^2 + 1$. Hence, $q(x) = \frac{1}{4}(t(x)^2 + Dy(x)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(X+1)^2$. This contradicts the assumption that $q(x)$ represents primes. Therefore, $\deg t(x) \geq \deg r(x)$ or $\deg y(x) \geq \deg r(x)$, and so $\rho(t, r, q) \geq 2$ if $k = 4$. In the same way, we obtain $\rho(t, r, q) \geq 2$ for the cases $k = 3$ and 6:

Suppose that $k = 3$. Then $D = 3$, and so $\sqrt{-3} = \pm(2\zeta_3 + 1)$ corresponds to $s(x) = \pm(2X + 1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &\equiv \frac{(X-1)s(x)}{-3} = \mp\frac{2X^2 - X - 1}{3} \\ &\equiv \pm(X+1) \mod r(x), \end{aligned}$$

since $r(x) \mid \Phi_3(X) = X^2 + X + 1$. Hence, $q(x) = (X+1)^2$. This contradicts the assumption that $q(x)$ represents primes.

Suppose that $k = 6$. Then $D = 3$, and so $\sqrt{-3} = \pm(2\zeta_6 - 1)$ corresponds to $s(x) = \pm(2X - 1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &\equiv \frac{(X-1)s(x)}{-3} = \mp\frac{2X^2 - 3X + 1}{3} \\ &\equiv \pm\frac{1}{3}(X+1) \mod r(x), \end{aligned}$$

since $r(x) \mid \Phi_6(X) = X^2 - X + 1$. Therefore, $q(x) = \frac{1}{3}(X+1)^2$. This contradicts the assumption that $q(x)$ represents primes.

**Remark 3.1.** *These conditions of $r(x)$, $t(x)$, and $q(x)$ in the proof mean that the family provides supersingular elliptic curves.*

Next, we show the case where $\sqrt{-D} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. Assume that $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$. Put $X = t(x) - 1$ and $m = \deg t(x)$. Note that $m > 1$, since we assume that $\sqrt{-D} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. Then, we may assume that

$$r(x) = \Phi_k(X),$$

since $r(x) \mid \Phi_k(X)$ and $1 = \rho(t, r, q) \geq \frac{2 \deg t(x)}{\deg r(x)}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If $k = 3$, 4, or 6 and $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$, then* $\deg y(x) = \frac{m}{2}$.

*Proof.* Since $\deg X = m$, the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}[x]_{2m-1}$, which consists of all polynomials with degree less than $2m = \deg r(x)$, has bases consisting of

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} x^{m-1}X, & x^{m-2}X, & \ldots, & xX, & X, \\ x^{m-1}, & x^{m-2}, & \ldots, & x, & 1. \end{array}$$

We consider the polynomial $(X-1)s(x)$ that is congruent with $-Dy(x)$ modulo $r(x)$. We can write $s(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]_{2m-1}$ uniquely as

$$s(x) = (F_1(x)x + a_1)X + (F_2(x)x + a_2)$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $F_i(x)$ that satisfy $\deg F_i(x) \leq m-2$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(X-1)s(x) \\ &= F_1(x)xX^2 + a_1X^2 + (F_2(x) - F_1(x))xX + (a_2 - a_1)X - (F_2(x)x + a_2). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Note that $F_1(x)xX^2$, $a_1X^2$, and $(F_1(x) - F_2(x))xX$ do not have any terms that are of the same degree as those of the others. On the other hand, dividing $(X-1)s(x)$ by $r(x) = \Phi_k(X)$, there are some $G(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$(X-1)s(x) = -Dy(x) + (G(x)x + b)\Phi_k(X). \tag{2}$$

Here, $\deg G(x) \leq m-2$, since $\deg\left((X-1)s(x)\right) < m+2m = 3m$ and $\deg y(x) < 2m$.

If $k = 4$, then $\Phi_4(X) = X^2 + 1$. Thus, the right-hand side of (2) becomes

$$-Dy(x) + G(x)xX^2 + bX^2 + G(x)x + b. \tag{3}$$

Since $\deg y(x) \leq m$, the terms in (1) and (3) of degree greater than $m$ coincide. Therefore, we obtain $G(x) = F_1(x) = F_2(x)$, $a_1 = b$. Thus,

$$-Dy(x) = (a_2 - a_1)X - 2F_1(x)x - (a_2 + a_1),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &D^2y(x)^2 \\ &= -4(a_2 - a_1)F_1(x)xX + 4F_1(x)^2x^2 + 4(a_2 + a_1)F_1(x)x + (\text{polynomial of } X). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since $r(x) = \Phi_4(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, we see that $Dy(x)^2 = 4hr(x) - (X-1)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. If we combine this with (4) and $\deg X \geq 2$, we obtain

$$F_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_1 = a_2.$$

Otherwise, the leading term of $-4(a_2 - a_1)F_1(x)xX$ does not vanish, which contradicts $D^2y(x)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Assume that $F_1(x) = 0$. Then, $F_2(x) = 0$, and so $s(x) = a_1X + a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. This implies that $\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$, which contradicts our assumption. Hence, we have $F_1(x) \neq 0$ and $a_1 = a_2 = b$; we also have $-Dy(x) = -2F_1(x)x - 2a_1$. Thus,

$$\deg\left(y(x)^2\right) \leq 2(m-1).$$

By $y(x)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $\deg X = m$, we have $\deg y(x) = m/2$. In the same way, we can obtain the same result if $k = 3$ or 6, as follows.

Suppose that $k = 3$. Then $r(x) = \Phi_3(X) = X^2 + X + 1$, and so the right-hand side of (2) becomes

$$-Dy(x) + G(x)xX^2 + bX^2 + G(x)xX + bX + G(x)x + b.$$

Comparing this with (1), we obtain $G(x) = F_1(x) = F_2(x) - F_1(x)$ and $b = a_1$, since $\deg y(x) \leq m$. Thus,

$$-Dy(x) = (a_2 - 2a_1)X - 3F_1(x)x - (a_2 + a_1),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &D^2y(x)^2 \\ &= -6(a_2 - 2a_1)F_1(x)xX + 9F_1(x)^2x^2 + 6(a_2 + a_1)F_1(x)x + (\text{polynomial of } X). \end{aligned}$$

By $D^2y(x)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ and $\deg X \geq 2$, we obtain

$$F_1(x) = 0 \ \text{ or } \ 2a_1 = a_2.$$

If we assume that $F_1(x) = 0$, then this contradicts $\sqrt{-D} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)$ in the same way as in the case $k = 4$. Hence, we have $F_1(x) \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 2a_1$. We have $-Dy(x) = -3F_1(x)x - 3a_1$, and so we have $\deg y(x) = m/2$ in the same way as in the case $k = 4$.

Suppose that $k = 6$. Then, $r(x) = \Phi_6(X) = X^2 - X + 1$, and the right-hand side of (2) becomes

$$-Dy(x) + G(x)xX^2 + bX^2 - G(x)xX - bX + G(x)x + b.$$

Comparing this with (1), we obtain $G(x) = F_1(x) = -F_2(x) + F_1(x)$, $b = a_1$, since $\deg y(x) \leq m$. Thus,

$$-Dy(x) = a_2X - F_1(x)x - (a_2 + a_1),$$

and so

$$D^2y(x)^2 = -2a_2F_1(x)xX + F_1(x)^2x^2 + 2(a_2 + a_1)F_1(x)x + (\text{polynomial of } X).$$

By $D^2y(x)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ and $\deg X \geq 2$, we obtain

$$F_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_2 = 0.$$

If we assume that $F_1(x) = 0$, then this induces a contradiction in the same way as in the case $k = 4$. Hence $F_1(x) \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$. We have $-Dy(x) = -F_1(x)x - a_1$, and so we have $\deg y(x) = m/2$ in the same way as in the case $k = 4$. □

Assume that $\rho(t, r, q) = 1$. Then, $\deg y(x) = m/2$ by Lemma 3.2. For each $k$, $Dy(x)^2 = 4h\Phi_k(X) - (X-1)^2$ has degree $m$. Hence, if $k = 3$, 4, or 6, then $h = 1/4$ and $Dy(x)^2 = 3X$, $2X$, or $X$, respectively. Then, $q(x) = \frac{1}{4}(t(x)^2 + Dy(x)^2)$ becomes

$$\frac{1}{4}(X^2 + 5X + 1), \quad \frac{1}{4}(X^2 + 4X + 1), \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{4}(X^2 + 3X + 1)$$

if $k = 3$, 4, or 6, respectively. None of these can represent integers, and so this would contradict the assumption. Therefore, we have shown that $\rho(t, r, q)$ cannot be 1. □

**Example 3.3.** Let $k = 4$, $t(x) = x^2 + 1$, and $r(x) = x^4 + 1$. Then, corresponding $x$ to $\zeta_8$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(r(x)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ . If we choose $D = 2$, then $\sqrt{-2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} s(x) = x + x^3 \\ y(x) = x \ \left( \equiv \frac{(t(x)-2)s(x)}{-2} \mod r(x) \right) \\ q(x) = \frac{1}{4}(x^4 + 4x^2 + 1). \end{cases}$$

Also, let $k = 6$, $t(x) = x^2+1$, $D = 1$, and $r(x) = x^4-x^2+1$. Then, $\sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6)$, and corresponding $x$ to $\zeta_{12}$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} s(x) = x^3 \\ y(x) = x \ \left( \equiv \frac{(t(x)-2)s(x)}{-1} \mod r(x) \right) \\ q(x) = \frac{1}{4}(x^4 + 3x^2 + 1). \end{cases}$$

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We now show Theorem 1.2. Let $m = \deg t(x)$. Note that $r(x)$ satisfies $r(x) \mid \Phi_k(t(x)-1)$, and it is known that $\varphi(k) \mid \deg r(x)$ (see [8, Lemma 5.1] or [9, Theorem 5.1]). Therefore, there is some integer $n \leq m$ such that

$$\deg r(x) = 4n.$$

Furthermore, if $4n < 2m$, then we obtain $\rho(t,r,q) > 1$ from $\rho(t,r,q) \geq \frac{2\deg t(x)}{\deg r(x)}$. Therefore, we may assume that $m \leq 2n$. If we combine the assumption that $\deg r(x) \neq 2\deg t(x)$ with these facts, then we obtain

$$n \leq m < 2n. \tag{5}$$

We may also assume that $\deg y(x) < \deg r(x)$. Let $\zeta = \zeta_k$ be a primitive $k$th root of unity corresponding to $t(x)-1$ under a fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(r(x)) \simeq K \supset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, we define $P(\alpha) = P(\alpha; x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ by the polynomial corresponding to $\alpha$ of degree less than $4n$. For example, $P(\zeta) = t(x)-1$, $P((\zeta-1)\sqrt{-D}) = -Dy(x)$. Furthermore, we see that $P(\alpha+\beta) = P(\alpha)+P(\beta)$ holds for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Thus, we will assume that $\rho(t,r,q) = 1$. Then, since $2\deg t(x) < \deg r(x)$ by (5), we obtain

$$\deg y(x) = 2n. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, since $\deg\left(P(\zeta)^2\right) = 2m < 4n$, again by (5), we have $P(\zeta^2) = P(\zeta)^2$. To prove the theorem, we consider $(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-D}$ and $\zeta(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-D}$.

Suppose that $k = 8$ and $D = 1$. Then, $\sqrt{-1} = \pm\zeta^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} -Dy(x) &= P\left(\pm(\zeta-1)\zeta^2\right) = P\left(\pm(\zeta^3-\zeta^2)\right) \\ &= \pm P(\zeta^3) \mp P(\zeta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (5), and (6), we obtain

$$\deg P(\zeta^3) \leq 2m. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, by (5), we know that $\deg\left(P(\zeta)P((\zeta-1)\sqrt{-1})\right) = m+2n < 4n$. Since $\zeta^4 = -1$, we have

$$P\left(\mp(\zeta^3+1)\right) = P\left(\zeta(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-1}\right) = P(\zeta)P\left((\zeta-1)\sqrt{-1}\right).$$

In particular, $P(\zeta^3+1)$ has degree $m+2n$. However, by (7), $\deg P(\zeta^3+1) \leq 2m$, and so $2n \leq m$. This contradicts (5). Thus, $\rho(t,r,q) \neq 1$ in this case.

The other cases can be proven in the same way. Suppose that $k = 8$ and $D = 2$. Then, $\sqrt{-2} = \pm(\zeta + \zeta^3)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} -Dy(x) &= P\left(\pm(\zeta-1)(\zeta+\zeta^3)\right) = P\left(\pm(-\zeta^3+\zeta^2-\zeta-1)\right) \\ &= \mp P(\zeta^3) \pm P(\zeta^2-\zeta-1). \end{aligned}$$

From this, (5), and (6), we obtain $\deg P(\zeta^3) \leq 2m$. On the other hand, from the above approach, we know that

$$P\left(\zeta(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-2}\right) = \pm P(\zeta^3-\zeta^2-\zeta+1)$$

has degree $m + 2n$. However, $\deg P(\zeta^3 - \zeta^2 - \zeta + 1) \leq 2m$, and so $2n \leq m$, which contradicts (5). Thus, $\rho(t, r, q) \neq 1$.

Suppose that $k = 12$ and $D = 1$. Then, $\sqrt{-1} = \pm\zeta^3$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} -Dy(x) &= P\left(\pm(\zeta-1)\zeta^3\right) = P\left(\pm(-\zeta^3+\zeta^2-1)\right) \\ &= \mp P(\zeta^3) \pm P(\zeta^2-1). \end{aligned}$$

From this, (5), and (6), we obtain $\deg P(\zeta^3) \leq 2m$. On the other hand, since $\zeta^4 - \zeta^2 + 1 = 0$, from the above approach, we know that

$$P\left(\zeta(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-1}\right) = P\left(\pm(\zeta^3-\zeta^2-\zeta+1)\right)$$

has degree $m + 2n$. However, $\deg P(\zeta^3 - \zeta^2 - \zeta + 1) \leq 2m$, and so $2n \leq m$. This contradicts (5). Thus, $\rho(t, r, q) \neq 1$.

Finally, suppose that $k = 12$ and $D = 3$. Then, $\sqrt{-3} = \pm(2\zeta^2 - 1)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} -Dy(x) &= P\left(\pm(\zeta-1)(2\zeta^2-1)\right) = P(\pm(2\zeta^3-2\zeta^2-\zeta+1)) \\ &= \pm 2P(\zeta^3) \mp P(2\zeta^2+\zeta-1). \end{aligned}$$

From this, (5), and (6), we obtain $\deg P(\zeta^3) \leq 2m$. On the other hand, from the above approach, we know that

$$P(\zeta(\zeta-1)\sqrt{-3}) = P(\pm(-2\zeta^3+\zeta^2+\zeta-2))$$

has degree $m + 2n$. However, $\deg P(-2\zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta - 2) \leq 2m$, and so $2n \leq m$. This contradicts (5). Thus, we have shown that $\rho(t, r, q) \neq 1$ for all cases. $\square$

# 5 Conclusion

We showed that there is no ideal case when $k = 3$, 4, or 6. We also showed that, if $\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$, there is no ideal case when $k = 8$ or 12 except when $\deg r(x) = 2 \deg t(x)$. Note that the Barreto and Naehrig family satisfies $k = 12$ and $\deg r(x) = 2 \deg t(x)$. The case where $\sqrt{-D} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$ has not been sufficiently examined.

**Acknowledgment**

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26870486, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B).

# References

[1] A.O.L. Atkin, F. Morain, *Elliptic curves and primality proving,* Math. Comput. **61**, (1993) 29–68.

[2] P.S.L.M. Barreto, M. Naehrig, *Pairing-friendly elliptic curves of prime order,* Selected Areas in Cryptography-SAC 2005. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **3897** (Springer, Berlin, 2006), 319–331.

[3] E. Ben-Sasson, A. Chiesa, E. Tromer, M. Virza *Scalable zero knowledge via cycles of elliptic curves,* CRYPTO 2014 (34th IACR International Cryptology Conference), (2014) 276–294.

[4] D. Boneh, M. Franklin, *Identity-based encryption from the Weil pairing,* Advances in Cryptology-Crypto 2001. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **2139** (Springer, Berlin, 2001), 213–229. Full version: SIAM J. Comput. **32**, (2003) 586–615.

[5] F. Brezing, A. Weng, *Elliptic curves suitable for pairing based cryptography,* Des. Codes Cryptogr. **37**, (2005) 133–141.

[6] C. Cocks, R.G.E. Pinch, *Identity-based cryptosystems based on the Weil pairing,* Unpublished manuscript (2001).

[7] C. Costello, C. Fournet, J. Howell, M. Kohlweiss, B. Kreuter, M. Naehrig, B. Parno, S. Zahur, *Geppetto: Versatile Verifiable Computation,* IEEE Symp. Security and Privacy 2015, (2015) 253–270.

[8] D. Freeman, *Constructing pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree* 10*,* Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium-ANTS-VII. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **4076** (Springer, Berlin, 2006), 452–465.

[9] D. Freeman, M. Scott, E. Teske, *A Taxonomy of Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves,* Journal of Cryptology **23** (2010), 224–280.

[10] S. Galbraith, J. McKee, P. Valença, *Ordinary abelian varieties having small embedding degree,* in R. Cramer, T. Okamoto (Eds.), *Proceedings of a Workshop on Mathematical Problems and Techniques in Cryptology,* CRM Barcelona (2005) 29–45.

[11] Hyang-Sook Lee, Pa-Ra Lee *Constructing complete families of pairing-friendly curves using the method of indeterminate coefficients,* in SIAM Conference on Applied Algebraic Geometry (2015).

[12] A. Joux, *A one round protocol for tripartite Diffie-Hellman,* Algorithm Number Theory Symposium ANTS-IV, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **1838** Springer-Verlag (Springer, Berlin, 2000), 385–394.

[13] E. Kachisa, E. Schaefer, M. Scott, *Constructing Brezing-Weng pairing friendly elliptic curves using elements in the cyclotomic field,* Pairing-Based Cryptography-Pairing 2008. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **5209** (Springer, Berlin, 2008), 126–135.

[14] S. Lang, *Algebra,* revised 3rd edition (Springer, Berlin, 2002).

[15] K. Okano, *On the rho-values of complete families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves,* J. Math. Cryptol. **6**, (2012) 249–268.

[16] K. Paterson, *Cryptography from pairings,* Advances in Elliptic Curve Cryptography, (2005) in I. Blake, G Seroussi, N. Smart (Eds.), *Advances in Elliptic Curve Cryptography,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **317** (2005)

[17] R. Sakai, K. Ohgishi, M. Kasahara, *Cryptosystems based on pairings,* Symposium on Cryptography and Information Security 2000, SCIS 2000, Okinawa, Japan (2000).

[18] M. Sha, *A kind of non-ideal cyclotomic families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves,* J. Math. Cryptol. **8**, (2014) 417–440.

[19] K. Yoon *A new method of choosing primitive elements for Brezing-Weng families of pairing-friendly elliptic curves,* J. Math. Cryptol. **9**, (2015) 1–9.

Tsuru University, 3-8-1 Tahara Tsuru Yamanashi, 402-8555 Japan
*E-mail address*: okano@tsuru.ac.jp